

## Summary Report: MAC (MARC Advisory Committee), ALA Annual, June 28-30, 2021

See my full notes here:

<http://ariscac.pbworks.com/w/file/145625358/MAC2021AnnualReport.docx>

Recording of meeting here: <http://loc.gov/marc/mac/recordings.html>

- 6 Proposals: all passed (2 required some edits)
- 6 Discussion Papers
- Most interesting:
  - [Proposal No. 2021-16](#): *Recording the Type of Binding for Manifestations in the MARC 21 Bibliographic Format.*
    - Proposed change: It is proposed to add another subfield to MARC 21 Bibliographic Format field **340 (Physical Medium)** to carry binding information. This would be to record a structured description using the RDA vocabulary encoding scheme or another structured vocabulary encoding scheme.
    - In field 340 (Physical Medium) of the Bibliographic Format, add and define new subfield \$I as follows:
      - **\$I – Binding (R)** A method used to bind a published or unpublished resource. Or binding information recorded using a structured description.
    - Examples:
      - **340 \ \$I case binding \$2 rdatb**
      - **340 \ \$I coptic bindings \$2 aat**
    - Too prescriptive in initial proposal in regards to terms, proposal passed as amended.
  - [Discussion Paper No. 2021-DP10](#): *Recording Data Provenance in the MARC 21 Formats.*
    - “Metadata about metadata”
    - Variety of options for supplying this data put forth (5 options!), but 2 main ones:
      - Deployment of additional subfields in Field **883 (Metadata Provenance)** [OCLC’s favorite option]
      - Deployment of various subfields across different MARC fields
    - Follow up paper on Option 5 will be forthcoming